

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,266	03/30/2000	Vipin Samar	OR99-17401	8991
	7590 04/04/200 ERNATIONAL CORP	EXAMINER		
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
DAVIS, CA 95			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2		2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/539,266	SAMAR, VIPIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David E. England	2143 .		

	David E. England	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment stice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materiall		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	Compliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		-Compliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7,9,10,13-16,18,19,21,22,25-28,</u>	31 and 33.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the aff	idavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	opeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	er entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
		COAVAD WALEY	
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EXAM	INER
		CHNOLOGY CENTER 210	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The large addition and cancellation of claim limitations in the independent claims, changes the scope of the claim and would require further search and consideration.

02